

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,859	02/03/2004	David J. Domingues	PIL0009/US/2	3505
33072 7590 01/09/2008 KAGAN BINDER, PLLC SUITE 200, MAPLE ISLAND BUILDING 221 MAIN STREET NORTH STILLWATER, MN 55082			EXAMINER	
			WONG, LESLIE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/771,859	DOMINGUES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie Wong	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirged apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Oc	ctober 2007					
<u></u>						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	x parte quayre, 1909 O.D. 11, 4	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-23 and 31-36</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-23 and 31-36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	30 .				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

10/771,859 Art Unit: 1794

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 12-23, and 30-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hutkins et al (US 5186962) in view of Franjione et al and Gaier (US 5645877).

Hutkins et al disclose a food product comprising an edible food item and a dormant, hydrated nontoxic lactic acid microorganism such as *Pediococcus*, wherein the nontoxic microorganism release by-products into the food product to inhibit the growth of harmful microorganism as is claimed (see entire patent, especially claims 1, 8, and 11). Hutkins et al also teach the food product to include meat and vegetable products and about 10³-10⁹ CFU cells bacteria per gram of food (column 11, lines 40-67).

The claims differ as to encapsulation and the specific use of *Streptoccocus* thermophilus.

Franjione et al disclose the use of encapsulation in food products for the purpose of shielding the active ingredient from the surrounding environment, wherein the core material is then released by different means such as mechanical rupture, dissolution, melting, diffusion, ablation, and biodegradation (see entire document, especially page

10/771,859

Art Unit: 1794

1). Franjione et al also disclose conventional encapsulating materials including organic polymers (see page 2, fourth full paragraph)

Gaier teaches *Streptococcus thermophilus* as a lactic acid bacteria (see entire document, especially column 3, lines 39-50).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use encapsulation as taught by Franjione et al and to use
Streptococcus thermophilus as taught by Gaier in that of Hutkins because
Streptococcus thermophilus is a conventional lactic acid bacteria and encapsulation is
conventional in the food art. Applicant is using known components for their known
function to obtain no more than expected results.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10, 12-23, and 31-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/771,859

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Leslie Wong **Primary Examiner**

pslie Wong

Art Unit 1794

LAW January 3, 2008